Previous references.

383-415 & 24-6-01
3378-48

File No. 13
of 04-05

1904

(Local Board)

Later references.
Police File 175 of 01
Sl: no. 2. B. May 05.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–2

PART B FOR September 1904

SUBJECT.

Payment of rent due by the Sub-Judge Mr. Mir Humza Hussain for the period he occupied the Musafirkhana at Chitaldrug

FILE No. 13 of 1904-05.

Payment of rent due by the Sub-Judge Mr. Mir Humza Hussain for the period he occupied the Musafirkhana at Chitaldrug.

1 From the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, No. 609, dated 27th May 1904.
Requests orders on the subject of the Sub-Judge Mr. Mir Humza Hussain's refusal to pay rent to the District Board for the occupation of the musafirkhana at Chitaldrug.

2 From the Secretary, General and Revenue Department, No. 1974—13-2, dated the 6th September 1904.
Directs the Comptroller that in case Mr. Humza Hussain does not pay at Rs. 10 per mensem as agreed upon by him at first for his occupation of the musafirkhana at Chitaldrug during the year 1903, the amount due by him for such occupation be deducted from his pay and credited to the proper accounts.
Endorsements to the Comptroller and the Registrar, Chief Court, No. 1975-6, dated 6th September 1904.
Forwards copies of Serial No. 2.

G. R. S. 5

383-415—3378-48, dated 24th June 1901.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Local Boards.

NOTES.

File No. 13 of 04

FILE HEADING.

Rent due from Mr. Mir Hamza Hussain for the period he occupied the Musafirkha at Chilaldrug.

From

The President of the District Board, Chilaldru

No. 609 Dated 27.5.04 Received 28th May/1904. Dist

Diary No. 2650 | Enclos. Copy of correspondence | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests orders on the subject of Mr. Mir Hamza Hussain's refusal to pay rent to the District Board for the period he occupied the Musafirkhana at Chilaldrug.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

It is observed from the correspondence

(1) that Mr. Hamza Hussain offered a rent of 10 Rs per mensem portion he occupied ~~a portion~~ firkhana at Chilaldrug repu

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—5,000—18-5-04

Serial No.

any rent, stating that officers who occupied the Chatram at Chintadrupet, which is intended for the use of travellers just as a Musafirkhana were not asked to pay any rent for the considerable periods they occupied the building, (2) that he at first occupied the building only as a traveller (3) that the decision of Govt in the matter will be of no avail, as under the District Board Regulations they have divested themselves of their proprietory right over the property and given it over to the District Boards and have not constituted themselves as ultimate referees in cases of disputes arising between the Public and the Board and (4) that under the circumstances the proper course for the recovery of the rent is a civil suit as laid down under para 75 of the rules

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Serial No.

2. Those suggested decisions are as follows:

Point (i). Chavadis and Musafirkhanas are no doubt intended for the use of travellers; but when they may, without causing hardship to travellers, be spared, there is nothing to prevent their being let out for occupation ~~by officers, where there are no official quarters for them~~, on payment of rent.

The following are some of the precedents.

(a) While reviewing the S. D. administration reports for 1889-90 it having come to the notice of the Government that the Taluk Sheristedar of Sawagada lived in the Musafirkhana for upwards of 3 months and paid a fee of Rs 4-9-6, the Government in para 22 of their Proceedings No. 7045-56/L622 dated 6th October 1891 deprecated the practice of Govt officials occupying travellers bungalows and directed that

*Flag (B) page 8 of the volume

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

that it should not be allowed in future.

(b) In proceedings no 2001/P 2 49-98 dated 30th August 1900 the Govt permitted Mr P. Srinivasa Rao, asstt Commr to occupy the musafirkhana at French Rocks on payment of rent, subject to the approval of the Board.

The draft Rules re Musafirkhana now before the Dewan provide for the levy of fees for the occupation of musafirkhanas for long periods, but this need not be considered in the present case.

Point (2). Mr Hamza Hussain was not a traveller in the true sense of the word, for his journey ended when he arrived at Chitaldrug and took charge of his duties.

Point (3) Handing over the institutions &c to the management of the district Board does not mean that Government are not ultimate referees in cases of dispute.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

Serial No.

Point (4) Resort to civil court is one of the several means for recovering the debts of the Local Boards and moreover Mr. Sungal Hussain, who occupied the building from the 30th of January 1903 to end of August 1903 Cannot take shelter under the Rules, which were promulgated ~~2 months~~ after he ceased to be the occupant.

Submitted for orders

Ps 2nd 3. The President of the District Board was wrong in citing Government Pro: No 383-418 / 3378-410 of the 24th June 1901 as the authority under which he demanded ~~payment of~~ rent for the occupation of the Musafirkhana referred to, as this order refers purely to Government buildings occupied as residences & not to Musafirkhanas or Travellers Bungalows or other buildings which

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

⊗ Note.
There is some mistake about this I think. If Mr Hussain vacated the building on the 31st August 1903 Why did the President ask him on the 14th December 1903 to vacate the building? Information may be asked on this point. JB

× 2

Serial No.

are not in charge of the Public Works Department. But it is strange that an officer of Mr. Hamza Hussein's position should have attempted to evade payment of what he himself consented to pay, viz a reduced rent of Rs 10/- per mensem for the occupation of a portion of the Musafirkhana building, simply because that in sanctioning the request the Board asked him to vacate the building, as they were opposed to the conversion of the Musafirkhana into residential quarters.

Mr. Hamza Hussein's plea that he offered to pay the rent if the building was given to him as a residential quarter is no where borne out in the records & his argument that the decision

× Vide letter § 30 dt. September 1903 Flag A.

Serial No.

of Govt. in two malas would be of no avail seems to be presumptuous. W.S. Hamza Hussein has apparently overlooked the fact that the building which is vested in the District Board could only be occupied on such terms as the Board might decide, & that ~~Leyla~~ terms offered by him having been accepted, he was morally liable to pay them down.

Please see para 8 Section 20 of the Local Boards Regulation regarding rents which should be credited to District Funds.

Submitted for orders.

J. Renouard
1/8/04

Lay before the 1st Councillor
2.8.1904

223
2-8-04.

It is a matter for regret that Mr. Hamza Hussein should have assumed the attitude that he has done. He should

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

~~He~~ evidently ill-advised ~~in~~ his despising Govt authority & courtesy as civil Unit - It was a mistake to have permitted him to accompany the Mutapardhana - He would do well not to repay this kindness by ingratitude & by a repudiation of the Board's claim (based on his own promise) and the Govt authority - He is evidently labouring under a misapprehension & I would accordingly give him a demi-official hint before passing orders on this reference - If he persists, I would not hesitate to convey to him the Govt disapproval of his conduct, & to direct that the rent due be deducted from his pay and remitted to the Mukteshwar Board - Surely an officer in his position ought not to plead on technicalities or indulge in insinuations

Q

3/8/04.

⊗ if Unit concurred in by the Dewan - Q

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 13 of 1904-5

Serial No.

Submitted to the Diwan Saheb with a draft drawn up in accordance with the above opinion

[illegible]

74 & 5.8.04

2 To the President of the Bd of the Chilalorup Dt, no: 1974-6 of the 6th Septr 1904- Directs the Comptr that in case Mr Hamza Hussain does not pay rents at Rs 10/- per mensem, for the occupation of the Musafarkhana at Chilalorup, in the years 1903, the amount due by him, for such occupation be deducted from his pay and credited to the proper accounts.

Forwards Copy of Serial no 2 to the Comptroller & the Regr Chpl Dt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—19-5-04

L2650

Sr B.
Dy 28/5

From

H. Basudeva Upadhyaya Esquire
Assistant to the Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.

To

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments, Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 27th May 1904.

Received

General No. 609
No.

Enclosure No. Copies of correspondence

Submitting copies of correspondence with the Chitaldrug Sub-Judge anent the payment of rent due for the period of his occupation of the Kusafar Khana at Chitaldrug and requesting that the matter may be kindly placed before Government for early orders.

59-03-

Chitaldrug District.

Dated Chitaldrug 27th May 1904.

From

A. Ramanujarengar Esquire
President, District Board,
Chitaldrug District.

To L. Ananthasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

In submitting herewith Copies of Correspondence ending with Chitaldrug Sub-Judge's No. 298 dated 5th Ultimo, anent the payment of rent due for the period of his occupation of the Musaferkhana at Chitaldrug, I have the honor to state that Mr. Mir Hamza Hussain, Subjudge of Chitaldrug applied in January 1903 to the Senior Assistant Commissioner Mr. Chengiah Chetty then in Charge of the District, for permission to occupy some of the rooms in the Musaferkhana till he secured

a

a convenient house in the town, which had been evacuated owing to the prevalence of plague. He was accordingly allowed to occupy the building on January 1903 and he continued to reside in it till the end of August 1903.

2. It was subsequently brought to notice that the sub-judge had not paid any rent for such occupation. Under the authority conveyed in Government Proceedings No. 383-415/3378-4P dated 24th June 1901, which is generally followed in this District in the matter of levying rents, an order was issued to the Amildar to recover rent from the Sub-judge at 4 Per Cent on his pay from the date of his occupation of the building and to credit the same to the District Funds. On this Mr. Hamza Hussain represented to me in his D.O. (Enclosed) dated 30th September 1903 that the rent of Rs 18/2 a month calculated upon his pay was too high

and

Copy sent with Key (P)

Chitaldrug District.

Dated Chitaldrug 27th March 1904

From

A. Ramanujarengar Esquire
President, District Board,
Chitaldrug District.

To L. Ananthasami Rao Esquire B. A.
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

In submitting herewith Copies of Correspondence ending with Chitaldrug Sub-Judge's No. 298 dated 5th Ultimo, anent the payment of rent due for the period of his occupation of the Musaferkhana at Chitaldrug, I have the honor to state that Mr. Mir Hamza Hussain, Subjudge of Chitaldrug applied in January 1903 to the Senior Assistant Commissioner Mr. Chengiah Chetty then in Charge of the District, for permission to occupy some of the rooms in the Musaferkhana till he secured

a

had been evacuated owing to the prev-
-valence of plague. He was accordingly
allowed to occupy the building on 30th
January 1903 and he continued to reside
in it till the end of August 1903.

2. It was subsequently brought to
notice that the sub-judge had not paid
any rent for such occupation. Under the
authority conveyed in Government Proceedings
No. 383-415/3378-4P dated 24th June 1901, which is
generally followed in this District in the
matter of levying rents, an order was issued
to the Amildar to recover rent from the
Sub-judge at 4 Per Cent on his pay from
the date of his occupation of the building
and to credit the same to the District Funds.
On this Mr. Hamza Hussain represented to
me in his D.O. (Enclosed) dated 30th September
1903 that the rent of Rs 18/2 a month
calculated upon his pay was too high

and

Copy filed with Para (5)

to Rs 10/- a month, as he occupied only a portion of the building leaving 2 wings for the use of the travellers. The matter was accordingly placed before the meeting of the District Board held on 5th December 1903 and a resolution was passed to the effect that the rent offered by the Sub-judge at Rs 10/- a month might be accepted as fair. A copy of of the resolution of the District Board was sent to Mr. Hamza Hussain for information and compliance.

3. It is now contended by him that the order of 1901 quoted above is inapplicable to the occupation of Travellers' Bungalows and Musaferkhanas, which are governed by a separate set of rules, that under the existing rules, the District Board has no power to charge rent for

the

that the proper course for the Board to recover the rent would be to have recourse to Civil suit.

4. Under these circumstances, I beg that the matter may be kindly placed before Government for early orders.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

G. Macaulay

for President

No. 1020
Enc 1

From

H. Ramanujaiengar Esquire
President, District Board,
Chitaldrug District.

To

Mir Hamza Hussain Esquire B.A. B.L.
Sub-judge
Chitaldrug

Dated 14th December 1903.

With reference to his D.O. dated 30th September last requesting the reduction of the rent from Rs 17-8-0 to Rs 10/- for the portion of the Musafirkhana occupied by him at Chitaldrug, has the honor to forward herewith an extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the District Board convened at Chitaldrug on the 5th instant and to request that he will be good enough to vacate the building in question at his earliest convenience as per Boards resolution. He is further requested to remit to this office the amount of rent due by him at the rate of Rs 10/- per mensem from the date of occupation of the Musafirkhana by him till he makes the same available for the use of travellers

(Sd) H. Ramanujaiengar
President

D.O.

8

Chitaldrug
27.1.03

My dear Sir,

On account of the prevalence of plague at this place, I have not been able to secure a house. Even if I were to get one, I do not think you will advise me to occupy it or allow me to remain in the town since it is the policy of the Government to encourage if not to enforce evacuation. I shall feel therefore much obliged if you will kindly allow me to occupy a few rooms in the Musaferkhana near the Cemetery until such time as the people are allowed to reoccupy their houses after the subsidence of plague.

I am &c
(Sd) M. Hamza Hussain

To
M. Chengiah Chetty, Esq
M.S: Dy Commr

building. Moreover, I was at the time under the impression that the Board had power to demand rent for the occupation of Musaferkanas, but I am given to understand that there are no such rules in force and that the Government

are

of the Drobiek Board, Chitaldrug, convened at Chitaldrug at 3-30 P.M. on Saturday the 5th December 1903

× × × ×

(8) Read:-

D.O. dated 30th September last from the sub judge, applying for the reduction of the rent to Rs 10/- for the portion of the Musaferkhana occupied by him at Chitaldrug.

Resolved that the rent offered at the rate of Rs 10/- for temporarily occupying only a portion of the Musaferkhana may be accepted as fair but the Board are unanimously opposed to the Conversion of any Musaferkhana into residential quarters and accordingly desire that the building in question be made entirely available for the use of travellers.

× × × ×

(Sd) H Ramanujacharyar

President

No 198

From.

Mir Hamza Hussain Esq B.A.B.L.

Subordinate Judge, Chitaldrug

To

H Ramanujacharyar Esq

Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug

of the Drobi
Chitaldrug

9

Chitaldrug
27-1-04

My dear friend.

Under the circumstances represen
in your D.O. of todays date, you may
occupy one block of the musaferkhana
leaving the other two blocks for travellers

Yours sincerely
(Sd) M. Changalraya Che

To Mir Hamza Hussain Esq B.O.B.C.
Subordge.

Chitaldrug
30.9.03

My dear Sir,

I once represented to you that the re
Changa

(Sd) H Rama
Pr

No. 198

From

Mir Hamza Hussain Esq
Subordinate Judge, C

To

H Ramanujaiengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner

(8) Read
D
the sub judge
rent to Rs 10/
occupied by-
Resolv
rate of Rs 10/-
portion of th
as fair but
to the Conver
residential q
the building
available for

charged for the Musaferkhana which I have been occupying is too high and that you were pleased to ask me to make a reference on the subject. You know how that building is not suited for my purposes and what sort of make-shift arrangement I have had to make for an office room. A rent of 17½ Rs a month calculated upon my pay is certainly too high and I request that you will be good enough to reduce it to Rs 10/- a month. I may state that I am not in full charge of the building as I have left two wings for the use of the travellers.

With best regards.

I remain &c.

(Sd) M. Hamza Hussain

To H. Ramanujacharyar Esq
Deputy Commissioner

"Copy"

H. Ramanujacharyar
President

Dated 8/9 January 1904

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1020, dated the 14th December 1903, I have the honor to state that I occupied the Musaferkhana in March 1903 with the permission of Mr. Chengiah Chetty who was then acting as Deputy Commissioner of Chitaldrug and that there was no agreement express or implied between us that I should pay rent for the building. On the other hand, as no fee was being levied for the occupation of Musaferkhanas, the understanding between us was that the occupation should be rent free. It is true that I wrote a letter offering a sum of Rs 10/- as rent, but that was in consideration of the Board allowing me to continue to remain in the building. Moreover, I was at the time under the impression that the Board had power to demand rent for the occupation of Musaferkanas, but I am given to understand that there are no such rules in force and that the Government are

upon the subject. Under these circumstances, I fail to see how the Board can demand rent of me for the occupation of the Musaferkhana

Moreover, as I know several officers have occupied the Musaferkhanas and also Chattrams at this and other places in the District for considerably long periods and yet no rent has been demanded of them. I don't see any reason why an Exception should be made in my case and I regret therefore that I cannot comply with the requisition of the Board as it is not supported by any law or precedent.

I have &c

(Sd) M. Hamza Hussain

Subordinate Judge

No. 2282

From

A. Ramanujacharyar Esquire

President, District Board

Chittaldrug District

To

Mir Hamza Hussain Esquire B.O.B.L.

Sub-Judge, Chitaldrug

Dated 15th March 1904.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 198 dated

dated 18th January 1904, I have the honor to state that the Musafirkhana which is the property of the District Fund Board is generally intended for the temporary accommodation, free of charge, of wayfarers and travellers. Hence persons converting such buildings under exceptional circumstances into residential quarters either permanently or for an indefinite period under proper authority are charged rent in accordance with the scale prescribed by Government in their Proceedings No. 383-415 / 3378-410 dated 24th June 1901.

2. There are instances of rents having been recovered as above from persons who had occupied such buildings in the District for residential purposes, while this Office has not been able to trace any case of exemption such as that Claimed by you. Consequently, the District Fund Board appears to me to have acted within its rights in demanding rent from you for the period of your occupation of the building in question. I accordingly request that you

will

put forward and pay up at the rate already proposed by you and accepted by the Board, the amount of rent due on the building up to the date of your vacating the same. Should you, however, still feel disposed to dispute the Claim, I request you will be good enough to return the Vernacular correspondence ending with yadi No. 597 dated 28th October 1903 at your early convenience as I shall then have no alternative but to place the matter before Government for decision

I have &c:

(Sd) A Ramanujacharyar

President.

No. 298

Office of the Subordinate and Assistant Sessions Judge

Dated Chitaldrug the 5/7 April 04

From

Mir Hamza Hussain Esquire B.A.B.L

Subordinate Judge, Chitaldrug

To

A Ramanujacharyar Esquire

Deputy Commissioner

Chitaldrug District

Sir,

With reference to your No. 2282 dated

dated the 11th March 1904, I have the honor to state that I have carefully perused Government Proceedings No. 383-415 / 3378-40 dated the 26th June 1901 quoted by you as authorizing the District Board to levy rents for ellusafer-khanas, and I fail to see any such provision therein. The Proceedings prescribe a scale of rent for the occupation of Government buildings which are converted into residential quarters and are inapplicable to Travellers' Bungalows and Musaferkhanas which are not Government buildings. You will admit that the Travellers' Bungalows are governed by a separate set of rules and similarly there should be separate rules for the Musaferkhanas if they are not already dealt with in the District Board Regulation and Rules. If the Proceedings quoted by you be held to be applicable to the Musaferkhanas, Every Government Servant that stops there will be liable to pay a certain proportion of his pay even for occupation for a day or two as no

exemption

exemption has been made for temporary occupation. Further the said rules will apply to the illegal institutions such as Purnea's Chabraus. There are several persons that have occupied the Chabrau at Chitaldrug who have not paid any rent. You have been pleased to remark that your office has not been able to trace any case of exemption, but the question is had it demanded rent of those that have occupied the Chabraus? No one might have claimed exemption from payment and none might have been granted. But there are scores of men who have occupied the Chabrau at Chitaldrug under the same circumstances as myself rent free. You are probably aware of their names but I do not like to mention them as I do not like to descend to personalities.

You are pleased to remark at the out-set that Musaferkhanas are intended for the temporary accommodation free of charge of wayfarers and travellers. When I

came

came here and occupied the building, I did it as a traveller. From the make-shift arrangements that I had made, it is quite evident that I never intended to occupy it permanently. The force of circumstances compelled me to remain there a little longer than I intended. In fact, I would have taken up any other quarter, had the District Board instead of sending me a demand for rent, asked me to quit the Musaferkhana. Seeing that they did not raise any objection to my continuing to live in it, I offered to pay a rent of Rs 10/- if it was given to me as a Residential quarter. The Board did not agree with my proposal and asked me to vacate it. There was an end of the matter and I fail to see how they can demand rent of me, for no limit is prescribed for a temporary occupation. There are instances in which certain Officers have occupied the Chalkans for a period of 3 months and if this period be regarded as temporary, I do not see how

the

the Board can call occupation for a year as permanent.

I think that, under the existing rules, the Board has no power to charge rent for the occupation of Musafirkhanas. They must derive their power either from the District Board Regulation or the rules framed thereunder. But as stated above, there is no such provision in the said Enactments.

The Canarese records have been sent. But I may state here that the decision of the Government upon the matter in dispute will be of no avail as under the District Board Regulation, they have divested themselves of their proprietory right over the property given over to the District Boards and have not constituted themselves as ultimate referees in cases of disputes arising between the Public and the Board. The proper course in such a case is a civil suit as laid down under section 75 of the Rules under the District

Board

Board Regulation

I have &c

(Signed) Mo. Hamza Hussain

Subordinate Judge

"Copy"

President

&c

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

-o-o-o-o-o-

Read:-

Letter No.609, dated the 27th May 1904, from the President of the District Board of the Chitaldroog District, requesting orders in the matter of the refusal of Mr. Mir Humza Husain, Sub-Judge, to pay rent to the District Board, for the period he resided in the Musafirkhana at Chitaldroog.

(1974-6)-13-2

Order thereon No. 113 dated. 6/9/14

Mr. Humza Husain obtained in January 1903 the permission of Mr. Chengiachetty who was in temporary charge of the District, to temporarily occupy a few rooms in the Musafirkhana at Chitaldroog until the plague which had broken out then subsided, and he occupied the rooms for seven months from the 30th January to the end of August of the same year. In consideration of his occupation for this long period, a demand was made for the payment of rent ~~of~~ at ₹17½ per month, calculated at 4 per cent on his salary, which is ~~adopting~~ the rate prescribed in G.O. No.383-415-3378

dated 24th June 1901. Mr. Humza Husain considered this rate too high for only a portion of the building occupied by him and offered to pay at the rate of Rs10 per month. The Board acceded to this request, but at the same time desired that the rooms should soon be vacated and the entire building made available for the use of travellers. Mr. Humza Husain then shifted his ground and put forward the plea that as no fee ~~was~~ had been charged in other instances, he was not bound to pay any rent, that the rate mentioned in the G.Order above referred to did not govern his case and that as Government had vested the control of the building in the District Board, payment could only be enforced by means of a civil suit against him. Under these circumstances, the President of the Board seeks instructions on the point.

2. The Government observe that it was wrong on the part of the Board to have allowed private occupation for such a lengthened period. It should scarcely be necessary to point out that Musafirkhanas are only intended for temporary halts and the duration of such halts should be regulated with due regard to the needs the maximum benefit of the travelling public. In the present instance, the Government are not prepared to say that the discretion was properly exercised by the President. The Board was right, therefore, in passing a resolution against the misuse of what is

well understood to be intended for the convenience of travellers. At the same time, the Government are disappointed at the attitude assumed by Mr. Humza Husain, apparently as the result of an after-thought in repudiating the claim of ~~an official~~ a body like the Dt. Board, and ~~thereby going back on his own words.~~ He should not have overlooked that the ultimate control over the proceedings of the Board rests with Government and that his conduct as an officer of Government is also subject to correction by them. In the case under consideration, the Government are constrained to remark that his conduct amounts to an abuse of what was clearly intended as a kindness to him at a time of general peril in the town and that his subsequent refusal to pay is incompatible with approved principles of conduct. In these circumstances, Government direct that unless Mr. Humza Husain makes an immediate payment of the amount due from him, the Comptroller to Government should take steps to recover by deduction from his pay and credit it to the proper account.

24.8.1904

22.8.1904

Secretary.

To The President of the Dist Board of Chittagong

To The Comptroller & the Legal Remembrancer

To The Registrar of the Chief Court

thus resiling from his own agreement. The Board's decision is subject to control by Government and Mr. Humza Husain's present refusal to pay might be legitimately demanded as a test of his bona fides & that demand if not otherwise met should be enforced by the Comptroller as a debt due to Government by the Chairman of the Board.

22.VIII.04

Yours after showing to Commissioner.

To Dr. Commissioner
24.8.1904

38-6
26-8-04 Seen. Thanks. I would suggest a slight alteration as above.
29/8/04.

Submitted

29.8.1904

1227
31.8.04

The print bearing the correction indicated by my initials is approved - the rest may issue as in the original draft. I prefer it

31.VIII.04

2.9.1904

Typed Ps 3/9

Compd VK 3/9

Copies to Chief Court made today as required. Ps 6/9

Compd VK 6/9

Typed by Mr. N. Ramaiya